



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

International Journal of Hygiene and Environmental Health

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/ijheh



Discussion

Human biomonitoring as a tool to support chemicals regulation in the European Union

Catherine Ganzleben^{a,*}, Jean-Philippe Antignac^b, Robert Barouki^c, Argelia Castaño^d, Ulrike Fiddicke^e, Jana Klánová^f, Erik Lebret^g, Nicolas Olea^h, Dimosthenis Sarigiannisⁱ, Greet R. Schoeters^j, Ovnair Sepai^k, Hanna Tolonen^l, Marike Kolossa-Gehring^m

^a European Environment Agency, Kongens Nytorv 6, Denmark

^b INRA, USC 1329 LABERCA, Oniris, Nantes

^c INSERM, UMR-S 747, 45 rue des Saints-Pères, 75006 Paris

^d National Center for Environmental Health, CNSA, I.S. Carlos III, Madrid

^e German Environment Agency (UBA), Corrensplatz 1, 14195 Berlin

^f Masaryk University, RECETOX - Research Centre for Toxic Compounds in the Environment, Faculty of Science, Kamenice 753/5, 625 00 Brno

^g National Institute of Public Health and the Environment, Centre for Environmental Health Research, Bilthoven 3720BA

^h Laboratory of Medical Investigations, Department of Radiology, School of Medicine, Hospital Clínico, University of Granada, 18071 Granada

ⁱ Environmental Engineering Laboratory, Department of Chemical Engineering, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, GR-54124 Thessaloniki

^j Flemish Institute for Technological Research (VITO), Environmental Toxicology, Boeretang 200, 2400 Mol

^k Public Health England, Chemical Toxicology R&D Department, London

^l Department of Epidemiology and Health Promotion (MONICA Data Centre), National Public Health Institute, KTL, Helsinki

^m German Environment Agency, Berlin

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 5 October 2016

Received in revised form 20 January 2017

Accepted 24 January 2017

At the World Summit on Sustainable Development in 2002 governments agreed “to achieve, by 2020, that chemicals are used and produced in ways that lead to the minimization of significant adverse effects on human health and the environment” (United Nations, 2002). This objective is reiterated in the European Union’s (EU) 7th Environmental Action Programme (EU, 2013),

Recognising key gaps in the knowledge required to support actions on chemicals, the 7th Environmental Action Programme calls for efforts to address these gaps in order “to accelerate decision making and to enable the further development of the chemicals-related acquis to better target areas of concern”. Human biomonitoring (HBM) is identified as a tool that can serve the chemicals agenda by providing “authorities with a more comprehensive view of actual exposure of the population to pollutants, especially sensitive groups such as children, and can provide better evidence from guiding appropriate responses” (EU, 2013).

European citizens are exposed to a wide range of chemicals through their diet and through different environmental pathways, in their homes as well as through their use of consumer products and at the workplace. While not all chemicals pose a health risk, exposure to some can seriously damage human health (European Environment Agency (EEA) - Joint Research Centre (JRC), 2013).

Yet our current understanding of chemical risks to human health suffers limitations, both on the side of exposure and with regard to the associated health impacts. The effects of long-term and low-dose exposure to mixtures of chemicals still remain poorly understood. In addition, the potential human health impacts of chemicals used in large volumes deserve more attention. These knowledge gaps are particularly acute for a large number of emerging substances that are used in a wide range of products, some of which have been already detected in the environment (Brack et al., 2012).

HBM as a tool in understanding chemicals risk

HBM measures environmental chemicals and their metabolites in the human body, usually through analyses of blood, urine, hair, breast milk or tissues. It provides an aggregated measure of the

* Corresponding author at: European Environment Agency, Kongens Nytorv 6, 1050 Copenhagen, Denmark.

E-mail address: catherine.ganzleben@eea.europa.eu (C. Ganzleben).

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijheh.2017.01.007>

1438-4639/© 2017 Elsevier GmbH. All rights reserved.

level of exposure to chemicals through different exposure pathways. As such, HBM is an important tool for assessing exposures of the human population to chemicals, and in the case of harmful chemicals, estimating potential health risks linked to the exposure. Studies based on birth cohorts have the potential to elucidate causality between exposure to a particular pollutant and a health effect. Analysed over time, HBM data allow evaluation of trends in exposure and can be used to assess the efficiency of implemented policies (EEA-JRC, 2013).

The 7th Environmental Action Programme also recognises the increased vulnerability of sensitive population groups, such as children and pregnant women, to chemical risk and highlights the role that HBM can play in assessing the actual exposure of the population to pollutants (EU, 2013). HBM surveys can also provide evidence of elevated exposures in specific subpopulations, such as individuals in particular occupational settings, living close to pollution hot spots, or with specific dietary patterns (Lagerqvist et al., 2015). HBM has also been successfully applied in the early phases of disaster follow-up and aftercare to document any excess exposure among victims and relief workers (RIVM, 2001). Such evidence may inform the development of targeted new measures to protect specific sub-groups, ranging from awareness raising focused on exposure prevention to controls on upstream pollution sources.

In addition to clarifying and quantifying exposure to already known substances, HBM can also provide early warnings for exposure to emerging chemicals. New analytical and statistical tools can be implemented to identify emerging chemicals based on their physicochemical properties or their biological actions. This can assist decision makers in managing chemical risks before they generate significant costs to health, through the prevention of large-scale exposure to toxic chemicals.

HBM also has the potential to enhance chemical risk assessments, by providing an important supplement to conventional sources of information (World Health Organization (WHO) Regional Office for Europe, 2015). Chemical risk assessors have traditionally used toxicological data from animal studies and safety assessment factors to derive intakes that can be associated with an acceptable level of risk. Risk assessment is then carried out by comparing these intake values, such as acceptable daily intake or tolerable daily intake (ADI/TDI), against intake values that are modelled based on measured or estimated exposure from inhalation, as well as from oral and dermal routes, where possible. HBM produces evidence of aggregate internal exposure from multiple routes and sources of exposure, so providing a basis for estimating total body burden. The application of HBM data to risk assessment can therefore help to reduce the uncertainty inherent in assessing exposure. In order to realise these benefits, collaborations between scientists involved in HBM, modellers and chemical risk assessors are required, to ensure that HBM data is tailored to serve risk assessments and to develop innovative risk assessment approaches. A recent review of the application of HBM to human exposure assessment for food safety identified potential added value, but found that further work is required to develop HBM-based guidance values and validated analytical methods, and to ensure that European surveys target substances of concern to policy makers (Choi et al., 2015).

The German HBM Commission derives HBM values with the aim of interpreting the HBM data in terms of the health impact of the exposure levels identified (Schulz et al., 2007; Umweltbundesamt 2016b). If necessary, HBM data may also be interpreted for specific sub-populations. The derivation of HBM values is based on both toxicological and epidemiological data. Two levels are defined, HBM I and HBM II. The HBM I value represents the concentration of a substance in human biological material below which, based on the current state of knowledge, there is no risk of adverse health effects. The HBM II value describes the concentration of a substance

in human biological material above which adverse health effects are possible and, consequently, there is an acute need for the reduction of exposure based on biomedical advice. For levels between the HBM I value and the HBM II value, adverse health effects cannot be excluded anymore with a sufficient certainty. While HBM assessment values based on human exposure–response data remain the most highly valuable and interpretable assessment values, sufficient epidemiological data only exist for very few chemicals. As a consequence, efforts have been undertaken to translate substantiated tolerable intake values, such as ADI/TDI, provisional tolerable weekly intake (PTWI) and reference dose (RfD), into equivalent biomonitoring levels. Resulting HBM values allow for an assessment of the health impacts of the levels of pollutants or metabolites found in human samples, and can be used to assess whether regulatory or voluntary measures to reduce exposure are needed. In addition, HBM data can be used to assess whether existing risk management measures have actually resulted in reduced health risks for the European population (Apel et al., 2016).

HBM can also be used to better understand the health impacts that result from chemical exposure. By linking human biomonitoring data with data from health surveillance programmes, researchers can start to identify associations between chemical exposures and disease outcomes. Additional approaches, including longitudinal cohort studies, adverse outcome pathways and identification of biomarkers of effect, can be used to support a causal relationship between exposure and health effects. In cases where researchers find evidence of harm to health elicited by exposure to a chemical or a mixture of chemicals, such knowledge can provide powerful justification for actions to minimise exposure.

HBM as a tool to support policy making

In terms of how HBM can serve policy making, resulting evidence can be used to prioritise actions and measures for policy making, to evaluate the effectiveness of policy measures aimed at reducing exposure to hazardous substances, and to promote more comprehensive health impact assessments of policy options (Joas et al., 2012).

For example, the Global Monitoring Plan of the Stockholm Convention on persistent organic pollutants (United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP), 2016) aims to provide information for the assessment of long-term trends in the levels of POPs present in humans. In 2013, the WHO/UNEP Human Milk Survey provided results that indicate success in eliminating certain POP pesticides from human milk, linked to implementation of the Stockholm Convention on Persistent Organic Pollutants (UNEP, 2013).

At EU level, the Regulation concerning the Registration, Evaluation, Authorisation and Restriction of Chemicals (REACH) (EU, 2006) aims to improve the protection of human health and the environment through the better and earlier identification of the intrinsic properties of chemical substances. Information on exposure patterns and time trends in exposure across Europe for specific chemicals could be used to assess the efficacy of the restriction process under REACH. Restrictions may limit or ban the manufacture, placing on the market or use of a substance and serve to protect human health and the environment from unacceptable risks posed by chemicals.

Furthermore, under REACH health-based exposure limits known as Derived No-Effect Levels (DNELs) or Derived Minimal Effect Levels (DMELs) are to be derived for all substances subject to registration that are manufactured/imported/used in quantities of 10 tonnes or more per year. These are the levels above which humans should not be exposed. Researchers have suggested that these exposure limits might be translated into biomarker concentrations, known as biomonitoring equivalents (BEs) (Boogaard et al.,

2012), which can then be used to interpret exposure levels on their potential impact on health on a population based level. As a prerequisite for using a DNEL/DMEL to derive a BE, the selection criteria, methodology and all the supporting studies used to derive the DNEL/DMEL would need to be fully transparent. In a case where HBM surveys were to detect concentration levels in humans above the relevant BE, this would then indicate that the combined exposure via all potential exposure routes may pose a risk to human health. Such evidence could support the process of prioritising substances for further risk assessment, such as the identification of Substances of Very High Concern (SVHC) under REACH.

In order to identify the most important exposure pathways as a basis for controlling emissions at source, HBM data on internal exposure, together with information on individual behaviours, diet and lifestyle, can be combined with environmental and/or food monitoring data. The Information Platform for Chemical Monitoring (IPChem) was developed by the European Commission and provides access to HBM data, as well as to environmental monitoring data and data on chemical substances in products and in food and feed (European Commission, 2016a). This, together with the development of exposure modeling, can facilitate the identification of the most critical exposure pathways and inform a review of the efficacy of legislation focussed on specific chemical products, such as pesticides, biocides, pharmaceuticals or cosmetics, or legislation targeting exposure pathways, such as air, drinking water or food.

Important knowledge gaps in chemical risk governance include the risks to human health from exposure to chemical mixtures and to endocrine disruptors. HBM has the potential to inform policy development in both of these areas. The European Commission's 2012 Communication on the combination effects of chemicals identifies a lack of knowledge on "where, how often and to what extent humans and the environment are exposed to certain chemical mixtures and how exposure may change over time". The Communication recognises the need to build understanding of the chemical mixtures to which the human populations are actually exposed (European Commission, 2012). HBM has a role to play in generating this knowledge, which could in turn enable a comprehensive and integrated assessment of the cumulative effects of different chemicals, taking into account different routes and sources of exposure.

Regarding substances that disrupt the endocrine system, the European Commission recently published a Communication on endocrine disruptors including scientific criteria for their determination (European Commission, 2016b). The Communication identifies the recent decision to support a European Human Biomonitoring Initiative under the Horizon 2020 as key to providing solid scientific evidence for regulators and policy-makers.

HBM programmes at national and international level

In the 2010 Parma Declaration on Environment and Health, the Member States of the WHO European Region committed to the development of "a consistent and rational approach to human biomonitoring as a complementary tool to assist evidence-based public health and environmental measures, including awareness-raising for preventive actions" (WHO Europe, 2010). A number of EU countries have well-established HBM programmes at national level, including Germany (Umweltbundesamt, 2016a), Sweden (Karolinska Institute, 2016), the Czech Republic (Czech National Institute of Public Health, 2016), France (Santé publique France, 2016), and Slovenia (Slovenian Ministry of Health, 2016), as well as the Flanders region of Belgium (Flemish Center of Expertise on Environment and Health, 2016). Beyond the EU, programmes are in place in the United States (United States Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 2016), Canada (Statistics Canada, 2016),

Japan (Japanese Ministry of Environment, 2016) and Korea (Park and Yu, 2014). The EU's Environment and Health Action Plan 2004–2010 recognised the value of HBM and called for a coherent approach to biomonitoring in Europe (European Commission, 2004). In support of this policy agenda, the European Commission funded the COPHES project, which developed harmonized protocols for HBM in Europe in order to improve the comparability of data (Consortium to Perform HUMAN Biomonitoring on a European Scale, 2012). In follow up, DEMOCOPHES then tested these protocols in the first EU wide pilot study (Demonstration of a study to Coordinate and Perform Human biomonitoring on a European Scale, 2016). These projects represent an important first step towards producing comparable and validated data on the exposure of the European population to chemicals, a key parameter for the effective use of HBM as a policy tool (Joas et al., 2015).

Next steps for HBM in Europe: HBM4EU¹

Looking forward, the 7th Environmental Action Programme calls for the development by 2018 of a Union Strategy for a non-toxic environment that addresses key areas of concern in chemical safety, including the safety of nanomaterials, exposure to endocrine disruptors, the combination effects of chemicals and exposure to chemicals in products. In order to serve this developing policy agenda, coordinated HBM efforts at EU level should bridge science and policy by generating targeted evidence on human exposure and associated health outcomes to directly address current policy questions.

In order to build on the work of COPHES and DEMOCOPHES, a framework for the coordination of HBM activities at EU level is required. Such a framework could facilitate the production of relevant and consistent EU HBM data as a robust basis for establishing baselines of exposure in the European population and for the detection of geographic variations in chemical body burdens and temporal trends across the EU (EEA, 2014). In recognition of this need, the European Commission launched a call in 2015 for a European Human Biomonitoring Initiative, under Horizon 2020's Societal Challenge on health, demographic change and wellbeing (European Commission, 2016c). The objective of this call is to create a European joint programme for the monitoring and scientific assessment of human exposures to chemicals and potential health impacts in Europe, building on previous activities undertaken at EU and national levels.

The resulting project, HBM4EU, represents a joint effort of 26 countries and the European Commission, co-funded by Horizon 2020. The main aim of the initiative is to coordinate and advance human biomonitoring in Europe. HBM4EU will thereby provide better evidence of the actual exposure of citizens to chemicals and the possible health effects to support policy making. The project will involve collaboration between several Commission services, EU agencies, national representatives, stakeholders and scientists, demonstrating how research funding can build bridges between the research and policy worlds. HBM4EU was launched in Brussels on 8 December 2016, with support from the Slovak Presidency of the Council of the EU, and will run from 1 January 2017 until 31 December 2021. It is anticipated that HBM4EU will contribute to the overarching goal of ensuring that EU chemical policies minimise the adverse effects of chemicals on human health.

¹ The HBM4EU project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No 733032.

References

- Apel, P., Angerer, J., Wilhelm, M., Kolossa-Gehring, M., 2016. New HBM values for emerging substances, inventory of reference and HBM values in force, and working principles of the German Human Biomonitoring Commission. *Int. J. Hyg. Environ. Health*, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijheh.2016.09.007>.
- Boogaard, P.J., Aylward, L.L., Hays, S.M., 2012. Application of human biomonitoring (HBM) of chemical exposure in the characterisation of health risks under REACH. *Int. J. Hyg. Environ. Health* 215 (2), 238–241, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijheh.2011.09.009>.
- Brack, W., Dulio, V., Slobodnik, J., 2012. The NORMAN Network and its activities on emerging environmental substances with a focus on effect-directed analysis of complex environmental contamination. *Environ. Sci. Euro.* 24 (29), <http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/2190-4715-24-29>.
- Choi, J., Mørck T.A. and Knudsen, L., 2015. Review of the state of the art of human biomonitoring for chemical substances and its application to human exposure assessment for food safety. EFSA Supporting Publications. 12(2), 2397–8325. Consortium to Perform Human Biomonitoring on a European Scale (COPHES), 2012. COPHES. <http://www.eu-hbm.info/> (accessed 14/12/2016).
- Czech National Institute of Public Health, 2016. Czech Republic Environmental Health Monitoring, <http://www.szu.cz/topics/environmental-health/environmental-health-monitoring> (accessed 05/10/2016).
- Demonstration of a study to Coordinate and Perform Human biomonitoring on a European Scale (DEMOCOPHES), 2016. DEMOCOPHES. <http://www.eu-hbm.info/democophes/democophes#> (accessed 14/12/2016).
- European Commission, 2004. Communication from the Commission to the Council, the European Parliament, the European Economic and Social Committee - The European Environment & Health Action Plan 2004–2010* {SEC(2004) 729} /* COM/2004/0416 Vol. I final */.
- European Commission, 2012. Communication from the Commission to the Council, The combination effects of chemicals Chemical mixtures, /* COM/2012/0252 final */.
- European Commission, 2016a. Information Platform for Chemical Monitoring Data. <https://ipchem.jrc.ec.europa.eu> (accessed 14/12/2016).
- European Commission, 2016b. Communication from the Commission to the European parliament and the Council on endocrine disruptors and the draft Commission acts setting out scientific criteria for their determination in the context of the EU legislation on plant protection products and biocidal products, 15.6.2016 COM(2016) 350 final.
- European Commission, 2016c. Horizon 2020 Participant Portal, Topic: The European Human Biomonitoring Initiative. <http://ec.europa.eu/research/participants/portal/desktop/en/opportunities/h2020/topics/sc1-pm-05-2016.html> (accessed 14/12/2016).
- EEA-JRC, 2013. Environment and human health, Joint EEA-JRC Report. European Environment Agency Report No 5/2013, Copenhagen, Denmark.
- EEA, 2014. Report of the EEA Scientific Committee Seminar on Environment, Human Health and Well-Being: Advancing the knowledge base, 12 February 2014. European Environment Agency, Copenhagen, Denmark.
- EU, 2006. Regulation (EC) No. 1907/2006 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 18 December 2006 concerning the Registration, Evaluation, Authorisation and Restriction of Chemicals (REACH), establishing a European Chemicals Agency, vol. 1907/2006, 2006.
- EU, 2013. Decision No 1386/2013/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council of 20 November 2013 on a General Union Environment Action Programme to 2020 'Living well, within the limits of our planet'. Official Journal of the European Union L. 354/171.
- Flemish Center of Expertise on Environment and Health, 2016. Flemish Human Biomonitoring Program. <http://www.milieu-en-gezondheid.be/English/index.html> (accessed 05/10/2016).
- Japanese Ministry of the Environment, 2016. Japan Environment and Children's Study. <http://www.env.go.jp/en/chemi/hs/jecs/> (accessed 05/10/2016).
- Joas, A., Knudsen, L.E., Kolossa-Gehring, M., Sepai, O., Casteleyn, L., Schoeters, G., Angerer, J., Castañó, A., Aerts, D., Biot, P., et al., 2012. Policy recommendations and cost implications for a more sustainable framework for European human biomonitoring surveys. *Environ. Res.* 141, 42–57.
- Joas, R., Casteleyn, L., Biot, P., Kolossa-Gehring, M., Castano, A., Angerer, J., Schoeters, G., Sepai, O., Knudsen, L.E., Joas, A., Horvat, M., Bloemen, L., 2015. Harmonised human biomonitoring in Europe: activities towards an EU HBM framework. *Int. J. Hyg. Environ. Health* 215 (2), 172–175, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijheh.2011.08.010>.
- Karolinska Institute, 2016. Swedish National Health Related Environmental Monitoring Programme. <http://www.imm.ki.se/Datavard/index.htm> (accessed 05/10/2016).
- Lagerqvist, A., Birgisdóttir, B.E., Halldorsson, T.I., Thomsen, C., Darnerud, P.O., Kotova, N., 2015. Human biomonitoring and policy making: human biomonitoring as a tool in policy making towards consumer safety. In: *Nordic Council of Ministers, Copenhagen, Denmark*.
- Park, C., Yu, S.D., 2014. Status and prospects of the Korean National Environmental Health Survey (KoNEHS). *J. Environ. Health Sci.* 40 (1), 1–9, <http://dx.doi.org/10.5668/JEHS.2014.40.1.1>.
- RIVM Project Team Health Research Firework Disaster Enschede, 2001. Firework Disaster Enschede: Measurements of Elements in Blood and Urine; Health Impact Assessment. RIVM, Bilthoven.
- Santé publique France, 2016. Biosurveillance. <http://invs.santepubliquefrance.fr/Dossiers-thematiques/Environnement-et-sante/Biosurveillance/Index-de-A-a-Z> (accessed 05/10/2016).
- Schulz, A., Angerer, J., Ewers, U., Kolossa-Gehring, M., 2007. The German Human Biomonitoring Commission. *Int. J. Hyg. Environ. Health* 210, 373–382.
- Slovenian Ministry of Health, 2016. Slovenia Environmental Health Monitoring. <http://www.uk.gov.si/si/splosno/cns/novica/article/10909/5357/c6f5cd5aaa> (accessed 05/10/2016).
- Statistics Canada, 2016. Canadian Health Measures Survey. <http://www.statcan.gc.ca/eng/survey/household/5071> (accessed 05/10/2016).
- Umweltbundesamt, 2016a. German Environmental Survey, GERES. <https://www.umweltbundesamt.de/en/topics/health/assessing-environmentally-related-health-risks/german-environmental-survey-geres> (accessed 05/10/2016).
- Umweltbundesamt, 2016b. German Human Biomonitoring Commission. <https://www.umweltbundesamt.de/en/topics/health/commissions-working-groups/human-biomonitoring-commission-hbm-commission> (accessed 05/10/2016).
- UNEP, 2013. UNEP/POPS/COP.6/INF/33, Results of the global survey on concentrations in human milk of persistent organic pollutants by the United Nations Environment Programme and the World Health Organization. United Nations Environment Programme. <http://www.unep.org/chemicalsandwaste/portals/9/POPs/docs/UNEP-POPS-COP.6-INF-33.English.pdf>. (accessed 14/12/2016).
- UNEP, 2016. Global Monitoring Plan on Persistent Organic Pollutants. <http://www.pops-gmp.org/> (accessed 14/12/2016).
- United Nations, 2002 United Nations, 2002. A/CONF.199/L.1 - Draft plan of implementation of the World Summit on Sustainable Development, United Nations. United Nations. http://www.un.org/ga/search/view_doc.asp?symbol=A/CONF.199/L.1&Lang=E. (accessed 14/12/2016).
- United States Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 2016. United States National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey. <http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/nhanes.htm> (accessed 05/10/2016).
- WHO Regional Office for Europe, 2015. Parma Declaration on Environment and Health. EUR/55934/5.1 Rev. 2., 11 March 2010, 100604. http://www.euro.who.int/_data/assets/pdf_file/0011/78608/E93618.pdf?ua=1 (accessed 14.12.2016).
- WHO Regional Office for Europe, 2015. Human biomonitoring: facts and figures. WHO Regional Office for Europe, Copenhagen. <http://www.euro.who.int/en/media-centre/events/events/2015/04/ehp-mid-term-review/publications/human-biomonitoring-facts-and-figures>. (accessed 14/12/2016).